arXiv:1602.04168v1 [quant-ph] 11 Feb 2016

Parameter Estimation with Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya Interaction under External Magnetic Fields

Fatih Ozaydin[1,*] and Azmi Ali Altintas[2]

[1]*Department of Information Technologies, Isik University, Istanbul, Turkey*
[2]*Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey*

We study the effects of external magnetic fields on the precision of parameter estimation with thermal entanglement of two spins in XX model, in the presence of Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction. Calculating the quantum Fisher information, we show that homogeneous magnetic field B, inhomogeneous magnetic field b or DM interaction D increases the precision of parameter estimation, overwhelming the destructive effects of thermalization. We also show that for the model in consideration, the effects of b and D are the same. However, the existence of both b and B (or both D and B) decreases the precision. We find that in order to increase the precision in parameter estimation tasks, applying b in the ferromagnetic case and B in the antiferromagnetic case should be preferred.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction [1, 2] plays an important role in various fields from spin Hall effect [3], skyrmions [4–6], magnetic domain walls [7] and thin films [8, 9] to spin glasses [10]. Furthermore, with the discovery that Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction excites the entanglement of a two qubit XYZ spin chain [11], an intense effort has been devoted to explore the entanglement dynamics of Heisenberg spin models of two and three level systems with DM interaction, with and without external magnetic fields [12–18]. These works focused on how DM interaction overwhelms the disentangling effects of thermalization and even external magnetic fields.

Besides secret key distribution and speed-up in algorithms [19], a key application area that quantum mechanics can provide advantages is metrology, where the precision of estimating the parameter ϕ of an N particle state $\rho(\phi)$ is limited by the quantum Cramér-Rao bound $\Delta\phi_{QCB} \equiv 1/\sqrt{N_m F_q}$ with N_m the number of measurements and F_q the quantum Fisher information of the state. In particular, for a single measurement i.e. $N_m = 1$ and a state of N particles, $F_q \leq N$ for separable states, achieving the shot noise limit (SNL) $\Delta\phi_{SNL} = 1/\sqrt{N}$. However entangled states can achieve $F_q \leq N^2$, implying $\Delta\phi_{HL} \equiv 1/N$ which is called the Heisenberg Limit (HL) [20]. Playing such a central role in metrology, there has been an increasing attraction on quantum Fisher information, usually maximized over the directions x, y and z, and averaged per particle [21], which we denote here as QFI. In this setting, SNL reads $QFI = 1$ and HL reads $QFI = N$.

For a state ρ, surpassing the shot noise limit, i.e. $QFI(\rho) > 1$ witnesses entanglement and such states are called *useful* states but the converse is not always true: An entangled state may not surpass SNL [22]. Two states of same entanglement can have different values of QFI, and unlike entanglement measures which are monotonic by definition, QFI of a state can increase under local unitary operations [23, 24]. What is more, there are cases that as the entanglement of a state decreases, its QFI increases [21]. Being such deeply related but not directly proportional to entanglement, QFI has been studied for classes of multiparticle entangled states [21, 25–30] spin chains [31, 32], Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model [33] and an open dissipative system with reset mechanism [34]. Recently, we have studied the quantum Fisher information of the thermal entanglement of the XXX model and shown that DM interaction can overwhelm the thermalization effects [35]. When it comes to the effect of external magnetic fields on the entanglement of Heisenberg spin chains, it has been found that this effect is usually destructive [12, 36, 37], with a striking counterexample that magnetic fields excite the entanglement of the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg spin chain [38]. Therefore it becomes interesting to consider external magnetic fields in quantum metrology especially in the presence of DM interaction.

In this work, we focus on this problem. We study the effects of external magnetic fields on the precision of parameter estimation with XX model in the presence of DM interaction, by calculating the quantum Fisher information. We show that -on the contrary to entanglement in general-, external magnetic fields can excite the quantum Fisher information of the Heisenberg model, i.e. increasing the precision of parameter estimation. In particular, we show that in the model we consider, either B, b or D alone increases the QFI, the effects of b and D are the same and the existence of b and B (or D and B) decreases the QFI. We also show that, b in the ferromagnetic case and B in the antiferromagnetic case should be preferred to increase the QFI. The Hamiltonian of the model we study can be described by $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\{J[\sigma_x^i\sigma_x^{i+1} + \sigma_y^i\sigma_y^{i+1} + \overrightarrow{D}\cdot(\overrightarrow{\sigma}^i \times \overrightarrow{\sigma}^{i+1})] + (B+b)\sigma_z^i + (B-b)\sigma_z^{i+1}\}$, where J is

*Electronic address: mansursah@gmail.com

the coupling constant, N is the number of particles, σ_α^i are the Pauli operators $\alpha \in \{x, y, z\}$ applied to i'th particle, B and b are the homogeneous and inhomogeneous external magnetic fields respectively and $\overrightarrow{D}$ the strength of DM interaction. For a two spin model with $\overrightarrow{D} = D\overrightarrow{z}$ for simplicity, the effective Hamiltonian is reduced to

$$H_{DM} = \frac{1}{2}\{J[\sigma_x^1\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^1\sigma_y^2 + D(\sigma_x^1\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_y^1\sigma_x^2)] + (B+b)\sigma_z^1 + (B-b)\sigma_z^2\}. \quad (1)$$

The eigenvalues and the associated eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian H_{DM} are found as

$$\{-B, (0,0,0,1)^T\},$$
$$\{B, (1,0,0,0)^T\},$$
$$\{-\gamma, \tfrac{1}{N_1}(0, -\tfrac{i(-b+\gamma)}{J(i+D)}, 1, 0)^T\}, \text{ and}$$
$$\{\gamma, \tfrac{1}{N_2}(0, \tfrac{i(b+\gamma)}{J(i+D)}, 1, 0)^T\},$$

where $N_1 = \sqrt{1 + \left|\frac{\gamma-b}{J(i+D)}\right|^2}$, $N_2 = \sqrt{1 + \left|\frac{\gamma+b}{J(i+D)}\right|^2}$ and $\gamma = \sqrt{b^2 + J^2(1+D^2)}$.

The density matrix of the thermal entangled state is found as $\rho = e^{-H_{DM}/kT}/Tr(e^{-H_{DM}/kT})$, where k is the Boltzman constant and T is the temperature. Thus we obtain the density matrix of the system as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{2B}{T}}+2e^{\frac{B}{T}}\gamma_c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\gamma_c - \frac{b\gamma_s}{\gamma}}{2(\cosh\frac{B}{T}+\gamma_c)} & \frac{i(i-D)J\gamma_s}{2\gamma(\cosh\frac{B}{T}+\gamma_c)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{i(i+D)J\gamma_s}{2\gamma(\cosh\frac{B}{T}+\gamma_c)} & \frac{\gamma_c + \frac{b\gamma_s}{\gamma}}{2(\cosh\frac{B}{T}+\gamma_c)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{e^{\frac{B}{T}}}{2(\cosh\frac{B}{T}+\gamma_c)} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\gamma_c = \cosh\frac{\gamma}{T}$ and $\gamma_s = \sinh\frac{\gamma}{T}$. The eigenvalues and the eigenvectors, $\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}$ of the density matrix ρ are used to calculate the QFI of the system.

The parameter to be estimated is usually considered as being acquired by the system ρ via the unitary evolution $e^{i\phi J_{\vec{n}}}\rho e^{-i\phi J_{\vec{n}}}$, -for example as a phase shift on one arm of a Mach Zender interferometer- where $J_{\vec{n}} \equiv \vec{J}\cdot\vec{n} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=x,y,z}^{N} n_\alpha\sigma_\alpha^i$ with $\sigma^{(i)}$ the Pauli spin operator on ith particle. In our scenario, we consider that the instances of the density matrix ρ with the given values of J, T, B, b and D, acquire a parameter ϕ and we calculate the QFI of each instance to analyze the effects of the given values on the precision of estimating the parameter ϕ. There are a few calculation methods of F_q [39–41] and we will use a common one for full-rank density matrices of mixed states [21], i.e. $QFI(\rho) = c_{max}/N$ where c_{max} is the largest eigenvalue of the 3 by 3 matrix C constructed using the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of ρ and $J_{\vec{n}}$ as

$$C_{kl} = \sum_{i\neq j}\frac{(p_i-p_j)^2}{(p_i+p_j)}[\langle\psi_i|J_k|\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j|J_l|\psi_i\rangle + \langle\psi_i|J_l|\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j|J_k|\psi_i\rangle], \quad (2)$$



FIG. 1: Quantum Fisher information per particle (QFI) for ferromagnetic (left column) and antiferromagnetic (right column) Heisenberg chains in the unit of Boltzmann constant k as functions of, (first row) temperature T versus DM interaction D with no external magnetic fields; (second row) temperature T versus homogeneous external magnetic field B with no inhomogeneous external magnetic field and DM interaction; (third row) temperature T versus inhomogeneous external magnetic field b with no homogeneous external magnetic field and DM interaction.

where $k, l \in x, y, z$. We find that for the system in consideration, the only non-zero terms of C matrix are $C_{xx} = C_{yy}$, i.e. this system has zero phase sensitivity in z direction and the phase sensitivities in x and y directions are equal to each other, resulting $QFI(\rho) = C_{xx}/N$. We first analyze how each of DM interaction, homogeneous or inhomogeneous magnetic field excites QFI separately, surpassing the destructive effects of thermalization. We study QFI as a function of each effect with respect to temperature, setting the strength of two other effects to zero for a clear observation. Due to lengthy terms, we continue with numerical calculations, and as illustrated



FIG. 2: Quantum Fisher information per particle (QFI) for ferromagnetic (left column) and antiferromagnetic (right column) Heisenberg chains in the unit of Boltzmann constant k as functions of, (first row) DM interaction D versus b with no external homogeneous magnetic field; (second row) external inhomogeneous magnetic field b versus external homogeneous magnetic field B with no DM interaction. Temperature is fixed at $T = 0.7$.

in Fig.1, show that the existence of any of these three effects excite the QFI of the system in the high temperature region. An interesting point here is that although resulting in different systems, therefore different corresponding density matrices and different entanglement values, inhomogeneous magnetic field and DM interaction excite the QFI in the same way. Exhibiting first a sudden increase and then a slow decrease with respect to increasing B, b or D, the QFI of ferromagnetic chain remains well larger than that of antiferromagnetic chain, surpassing the shot-noise level, in accordance with our recent findings for the XXX model including only DM interaction [35].

By fixing the temperature at $T = 0.7$, we explore the effects of DM interaction and magnetic fields together, and homogeneous and inhomogeneous magnetic fields together in QFI in Fig.2. We find that, although D and b increase the QFI in the same way, only the ferromagnetic model can surpass the SNL, even at high temperatures. However, although b or B alone increases the QFI, the existence of both b and B decreases the QFI in an asymmetric way such that in the ferromagnetic case b, and in the antiferromagnetic case B should be applied to achieve a higher QFI, implying a higher precision of parameter estimation. Note that, since the effects of D and b are the same in the model in consideration, we do not plot the contour of QFI with respect to D and B.

II. CONCLUSION

We have studied the precision of parameter estimation under external magnetic fields and in the presence of Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction. Considering the XX model of two spins, we have found that either homogenous or inhomogeneous magnetic fields, or DM interaction alone increases the precision of parameter estimation. In the very specific case of our model, the effects of inhomogeneous magnetic field and DM interaction are the same. However, the precision decreases if both of the magnetic fields, or both homogeneous magnetic field and DM interaction exists. A key finding presented in this work is that in order to increase the precision of parameter estimation, applying inhomogeneous magnetic field in the ferromagnetic case and homogeneous magnetic field in the antiferromagnetic case should be preferred. It would be interesting to extend our work to other spin models as well as spin glasses.

III. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors greatly acknowledge the financial support of Isik University Scientific Research Fund, Grant No: BAP-14A101.

[1] I. Dzyaloshinskii, "A thermodynamic theory of "weak" ferromagnetism of antiferromagnetics," *J. Phys. Chem. Solids,* vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 241-255, Feb. 1958.
[2] T. Moriya, "New Mechanism of Anisotropic Superexchange Interaction," *Phys. Rev. Lett.,* vol. 4, no. 228, pp. 5-9, Mar. 1960.
[3] N. Perez, L. Torres, and E. Martinez-Vecino, "Micromagnetic Modeling of Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya Interaction in Spin Hall Effect Switching," *IEEE Trans. Magn.,* vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 1-4, Nov. 2014.
[4] J.-W. Yoo, S.-J. Lee, J.-H. Moon, and K.-J. Lee, "Phase Diagram of a Single Skyrmion in Magnetic Nanowires," *IEEE Trans. Magn.,* vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 1-4, Nov. 2014.
[5] M. B. A. Jalil, S. G. Tan, K. Eason, and J. F. Kong, "Topological Hall conductivity of vortex and skyrmion spin textures," *J. Appl. Phys.,* vol. 115, no. 17D107, pp. 1-3, Jan. 2014.
[6] T. Y. Ou-Yang, G. J. Shu, C. D. Hu, F. C. Chou, "Manganese Deficiency in MnSi Single Crystal and Skyrmion Pinning," *IEEE Trans. Magn.,* vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 1-4, Nov. 2014.
[7] A. Janutka, P. Gawronski, "Structure of Magnetic Domain Wall in Cylindrical Microwire," *IEEE Trans. Magn.,* vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 1-6, May. 2015.

[8] H. T. Nembach, J. M. Shaw, M. Weiler, E. Jué, T. J. Silva, "Linear relation between Heisenberg exchange and interfacial Dzyaloshinskii Moriya interaction in metal films," *Nat. Phys.,* vol. 11, pp. 825-829, Aug. 2015.

[9] R. Levente, S. Laszlo, U. Laszlo, "Non-Collinear Magnetic Configurations at Finite Temperature in Thin Films," *IEEE Trans. Magn.,* vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 1-4, Nov. 2014.

[10] S. Lyakhimets, "Ordering of Dyzaloshinskii-Moriya Vectors and Unidirectional Anisotropy in Spin-Glasses," *IEEE Trans. Magn.,* vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 840-842, Mar. 1994.

[11] G. F. Zhang, "Thermal entanglement and teleportation in a two-qubit Heisenberg chain with Dzyaloshinski-Moriya anisotropic antisymmetric interaction," *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 75, no. 034304, pp. 1-4, Mar. 2007.

[12] C. Akyuz, E. Aydiner, and O. E. Mustecaplioglu, "Thermal entanglement of a two-qutrit Ising system with DzialoshinskiMoriya interaction," *Opt. Comm.,* vol. 281, pp. 5271-5277, Oct. 2008.

[13] R. Jafari, M. Kargarian, A. Langari, and M. Siahatgar, "Phase diagram and entanglement of the Ising model with Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction," *Phys. Rev. B,* vol. 78, no. 214414, pp. 1-10, Dec. 2008.

[14] M. Kargarian, R. Jafari, and A. Langari, "Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction and anisotropy effects on the entanglement of the Heisenberg model," *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 79, no. 042319, pp. 1-7, Apr. 2009.

[15] E. Mehran, S. Mahdavifar, and R. Jafari, "Induced effects of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction on the thermal entanglement in spin-1/2 Heisenberg chains," *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 89, no. 042306, pp. 1-7, Apr. 2014.

[16] X. Song, T. Wu, and L. Ye, "Quantum correlations and quantum phase transition in the Ising model with DzyaloshinskiiMoriya interaction," *Phys. A,* vol. 394, pp. 386-393, Jan. 2014.

[17] K. K. Sharma, S. N. Pandey, "Entanglement dynamics in two-parameter qubitqutrit states under Dzyaloshinskii-iMoriya interaction," *Quant. Inf. Proc.,* vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 2017-2038, Sep. 2014.

[18] K. K. Sharma, S. N. Pandey, "Infuence of Dzyaloshinshkii-Moriya interaction on quantum correlations in two qubit Werner states and MEMS," *Quant. Inf. Proc.,* vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1361-1375, Apr. 2015.

[19] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information.* Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[20] L. Pezze and A. Smerzi, "Entanglement, Nonlinear Dynamics, and the Heisenberg Limit," *Phys. Rev. Lett.,* vol. 102, no. 100401, pp. 1-4, Mar. 2009.

[21] J. Ma, Y. X. Huang, X. Wang, and C. P. Sun, "Quantum Fisher information of the Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state in decoherence channels," *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 84, no. 022302, pp. 1-7, Aug. 2011.

[22] P. Hyllus et. al. "Fisher information and multiparticle entanglement," *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 85, no. 022321, pp. 1-10, Feb. 2012.

[23] V. Erol, F. Ozaydin, and A. A. Altintas, "Analysis of Entanglement Measures and LOCC Maximized Quantum Fisher Information of General Two Qubit Systems," *Sci. Rep.,* vol. 4, no. 5422, pp. 1-4, Jun. 2014.

[24] P. Hyllus, O. Guhne, and A. Smerzi, "Not all pure entangled states are useful for sub-shot-noise interferometry," *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 82, no. 012337, pp. 1-12, Jul. 2010.

[25] I. Apellaniz, B. Lucke, J. Peise, C. Klempt, and G. Toth, "Detecting metrologically useful entanglement in the vicinity of Dicke states," *New J. Phys.,* vol. 17 no. 083027, pp. 1-12 Aug. 2015

[26] F. Ozaydin, "Phase damping destroys quantum fisher information of W states," *Phys. Lett. A,* vol. 378, no. 43, pp. 31613164, Sep. 2014.

[27] F. Ozaydin, A. A. Altintas, S. Bugu, C. Yesilyurt, M. Arik, "Quantum fisher information of several qubits in superposition of a GHZ and two W states with arbitrary relative phase," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.,* vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 3219-3225, Sep. 2014.

[28] M. Rosenkranz and D. Jaksch, "Parameter estimation with cluster states", *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 79, no. 022103, pp. 1-10, Feb. 2009.

[29] F. Ozaydin, "Quantum Fisher Information of a 3 3 Bound Entangled State and its Relation with Geometric Discord", *Int. J. Theor. Phys.,* vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 3304-3310, Sep. 2015.

[30] F. Ozaydin, A. A. Altintas, C. Yesilyurt, S. Bugu, V. Erol, "Quantum Fisher Information of Bipartitions of W States", *Act. Phys. Pol. A,* vol. 127, no. 4, pp. 1233-1235, Sep. 2015.

[31] W. F. Liu, J. Ma, and X. Wang, "Quantum Fisher information and spin squeezing in the ground state of the XY model", *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.,* vol. 46, no. 045302, pp. 1-9, Jan. 2013.

[32] X. M. Liu, Z. Z. Du, and J. M. Liu, "Quantum Fisher information for periodic and quasiperiodic anisotropic XY chains in a transverse field," *Quant. Inf. Proc.,* pp. 1-18, Jan. 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s11128-015-1237-0

[33] J. Ma and X. Wang, "Fisher information and spin squeezing in the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model," *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 80, no. 012318, pp. 1-9, Jul. 2009.

[34] A. A. Altintas, "Quantum Fisher Information of an Open and Noisy System in the Steady State," Ann. Phys., DOI: 10.1016/j.aop.2016.01.016 (2016).

[35] F. Ozaydin and A. A. Altintas, "Quantum Metrology: Surpassing the shot-noise limit with Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction," *Sci. Rep.,* vol. 5, no. 16360, pp. 1-6, Nov. 2015.

[36] G. H. Yang and L. Zhou, "Entanglement properties of a two-qubit, mixed-spin, Heisenberg chain under a nonuniform magnetic field," *Phys. Scr.,* vol. 78, no. 025703, pp. 1-6, Jul. 2008.

[37] D. C. Li and Z. L. Cao, "Thermal entanglement in the anisotropic Heisenberg XYZ model with different inhomogeneous magnetic fields," *Opt. Comm.,* vol. 282, no. 6, pp. 12261230, Mar. 2009.

[38] M. C. Arnesen, S. Bose, and V. Vedral, "Natural Thermal and Magnetic Entanglement in the 1D Heisenberg Model," *Phys. Rev. Lett.,* vol. 87, no. 017901, pp. 1-4, Jun. 2001.

[39] J. Liu, X. Jing, and X. Wang, "Phase-matching condition for enhancement of phase sensitivity in quantum metrology," *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 88, no. 042316, pp. 1-4, Oct. 2013.

[40] J. Liu, X. Jing, W. Zhong, and X. Wang, "Quantum Fisher Information for Density Matrices with Arbitrary Ranks," *Commun. Theor. Phys.,* vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 45-50, Jan. 2014.

[41] X. Jing, J. Liu, H.N. Xiong and X. Wang, "Maximal quantum Fisher information for general su(2) parametrization processes," *Phys. Rev. A,* vol. 92, no.

012312, pp. 1-6, Jul. 2015.